

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>				1. Contract ID Code Firm-Fixed-Price		Page 1 Of 9	
2. Amendment/Modification No.  0005		3. Effective Date  2007FEB15		4. Requisition/Purchase Req No.  SEE SCHEDULE		5. Project No. (If applicable)	
6. Issued By HQ ARMY SUSTAINMENT COMMAND AMSAS-ACA-F RACHEL PHELPS (309)782-0281 ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-6500 BLDGS 350 & 390 EMAIL: RACHEL.PHELPS@US.ARMY.MIL		Code W52P1J		7. Administered By (If other than Item 6)  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"><span>SCD</span><span>PAS</span><span>ADP PT</span></div>			
8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No. W52P1J-06-R-0206			
				<input type="checkbox"/> 9B. Dated (See Item 11) 2007JAN08			
				<input type="checkbox"/> 10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.			
				<input type="checkbox"/> 10B. Dated (See Item 13)			
Code		Facility Code					
<b>11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning <u>2 signed</u> copies of the amendments: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. <b>FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.</b> If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)							
<b>13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS</b> It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.							
<input type="checkbox"/> A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: <span style="float: right;">The Changes Set Forth In Item 14 Are Made In</span> The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.							
<input type="checkbox"/> B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).							
<input type="checkbox"/> C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of:							
<input type="checkbox"/> D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)							
<b>E. IMPORTANT:</b> Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the Issuing Office.							
14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION							
<p>Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.</p>							
15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)				16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)			
15B. Contractor/Offeror  _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. Date Signed		16B. United States Of America  By _____ /SIGNED/ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. Date Signed	
NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PREVIOUS EDITIONS UNUSABLE				30-105-02		STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243	

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>		<b>Page 2 of 9</b>
	<b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W52P1J-06-R-0206	<b>MOD/AMD</b> 0005	

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

- 1. The purpose of this amendment is to add clause 52.246-4506, Statistical Process Control (SPC), to this solicitation for the cartridge case manufacturing level.
- 2. The closing date and time of this solicitation is NOT extended.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE A 0006 \*\*\*

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>  <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W52P1J-06-R-0206 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0005	<b>Page</b> 3 <b>of</b> 9
---------------------------	---	---------------------------

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SECTION E - INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

For Local Clauses See: <http://www.afsc.army.mil/ac/aais/ioc/clauses/index.htm>

<u>Status</u>	<u>Regulatory Cite</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
E-1 CHANGED 52.246-4506	STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL (SPC)		MAR/2006
THIS CLAUSE APPLIES ONLY TO THE CARTRIDGE CASE MANUFACTURING LEVEL			

Part I General Statistical Process Control Requirements

- (a) In addition to the quality requirements of the technical data package, the Contractor shall implement Statistical Process Control (SPC) in accordance with a government accepted SPC Program Plan. Control chart techniques shall be in accordance with the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) B1, B2 and B3. Alternate SPC charting methods may be proposed and submitted to the Government for review.
- (b) The SPC Program Plan developed by the contractor shall consist of a general plan and a detailed plan. The plans shall be structured as delineated on the Data Item Description referenced in the DD Form 1423. The general and the detailed plans shall be submitted to the government for review per DD Form 1423 requirements. Notification by the Government of acceptance or nonacceptance of the plans shall be provided in accordance with the timeframes specified on the DD Form 1423. Once a general plan for a facility has been approved by this Command, the approval remains in effect for subsequent contracts as long as the contractual requirements remain substantially unchanged from contract to contract. Therefore, resubmission of a previously accepted general SPC plan is not required if current SPC contract clause and Data Item Description (DID) requirements are fulfilled. If this Command has previously accepted the general SPC plan under essentially the same SPC contractual requirements, so indicate by providing the Contracting Officer with the following information:
- Date of Acceptance \_\_\_\_\_
- Contract Number(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- (c) The contractor is responsible for updating the general plan to current SPC contractual requirements. If errors or omissions are encountered in a previously accepted SPC general plan, opportunities for improvement will be identified by the Government, and corrective action shall be accomplished by the contractor.
- (d) A milestone schedule will be submitted for those facilities who do not have, or have never had, a fully implemented SPC program and will not have a fully operational SPC program once production is initiated. The milestones shall provide a time phased schedule of all efforts planned relative to implementation of an SPC program acceptable to the Government. A milestone schedule shall include implementation start and complete dates for those SPC subjects addressed in the Statistical Process Control Statement of Work located in Part II of this clause. The milestone schedule shall only include those actions that can not be accomplished prior to first article or the initiation of production, if a first article is not required. Milestones shall be developed for each commodity identified for SPC application. Milestones shall be submitted through the Government Quality Assurance Representative to the Contracting Officer for review and acceptance. Any deviations from the accepted milestones, to include justification for such deviations, shall be resubmitted through the same channels for review. The Government reserves the right to disapprove any changes to the previously accepted milestones. Notification by the Government of the acceptance or nonacceptance of the milestones shall be furnished to the Contractor by the Contracting Officer.
- (e) The Contractor shall review all process and operation parameters for possible application of SPC techniques. This review shall include processes and operations under the control of the prime contractor and those under the control of subcontractor or vendor facilities. A written justification shall be included in the detailed plan for each process and operation parameter that controls or influences characteristics identified as critical, special, or major which have been deemed impractical for the application of SPC techniques. A pamphlet on application of SPC for short production runs is available through the Contracting Officer.
- (f) Statistical evidence in the form of control charts shall be prepared and maintained for each process or operation parameter identified in the detailed plan. These charts shall identify all corrective actions taken on statistical signal. During production runs, control charts shall be maintained in such a manner to assure product is traceable to the control charts. At the conclusion of the production run, a collection of charts traceable to the product, shall be maintained for a minimum of 3 years. The control charts shall be provided to the Government for review at any time upon request.
- (g) When the process or operation parameter under control has demonstrated both stability and capability, the Contractor may request, in writing, through Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) and Contracting Officer (CO) channels to the Product Assurance and Test Directorate, that acceptance inspection or testing performed in accordance with contract requirements be reduced or eliminated. Upon approval by the CO, acceptance shall then be based upon the accepted SPC plan, procedures, practices and the control charts.

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W52P1J-06-R-0206 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0005	<b>Page</b> 4 <b>of</b> 9
<b>Name of Offeror or Contractor:</b>		

- (h) The Government will not consider requests for reduction or elimination of 100% acceptance inspection and testing of if any one of the following conditions exist:
- (1) The existing process currently utilizes a fully automated, cost effective, and sufficiently reliable method of 100% acceptance inspection or testing for an attribute-type critical parameter or characteristic.
  - (2) The Contractor utilizes attribute SPC control chart methods for the critical parameter or characteristic.
  - (3) The critical parameter or characteristic is a first order, single point safety failure mode (nonconformance of the critical parameter or characteristic in and of itself would cause a catastrophic failure).
- (i) The Government will only consider reduction or elimination of the 100% acceptance inspection or test requirement for other critical parameters or characteristics if either of the following conditions are met:
- (1) The process is in a state of statistical control utilizing variable control chart methods for the critical parameter or characteristic under control and the process performance index (Cpk) is at least 2.0. The Contractor shall maintain objective quality evidence through periodic audits that the process performance index is being maintained for each production delivery.
  - (2) The critical parameter or characteristic is conclusively shown to be completely controlled by one or more process or operation parameters earlier in the process, and those parameters are in a state of statistical control utilizing variable data, and the product of the probability of the conformance for each earlier parameter associated to the critical characteristic is better than or equal to a value equivalent to that provided by a Cpk of at least 2.0. The Contractor shall maintain objective quality evidence through periodic audits that the process performance indexes are being maintained for each production delivery.
- (j) For characteristics other than critical, requests for reduction or elimination of acceptance inspection and testing shall be considered when the process performance index is greater than or equal to a Cpk of 1.33 for variables data. Requests shall be considered for attributes data when the percent beyond the specification limits is less than or equal to .003 (Cpk=1.33).
- (k) Process or operation parameters under reduced or eliminated inspection or testing that undergo a break in production less than 6 months in length, may continue to operate under reduced or eliminated inspection or testing provided there has been no degradation below a Cpk of 1.33 (2.0 for criticals). Any break in production greater than 6 months shall require resubmission of the request for reduction or elimination of inspection or testing through the same channels cited in paragraph (g) above.
- (l) Not used.
- (m) Immediately following a change to a process or operation parameter under reduced or eliminated inspection, the process capability (Cp) or process performance indexes (Cpk) shall be recalculated and documented for variable data; the grand average fraction defective shall be recalculated for attribute data. If any of these values have deteriorated, immediate notification shall be made to the Government along with the associated documentation. Return to original inspection and test requirements may be imposed as stipulated in paragraph n below.
- (n) The Government reserves the right to withdraw authorization to reduce or eliminate final acceptance inspection or testing and direct the Contractor to return to original contract inspection or test procedures at any indication of loss of process control or deterioration of quality.

Part II Detailed requirements pertaining to plan submittal

In accordance with DI-MGMT-80004 and Part I of this clause, the following supplemental information shall be considered and used when designing your general and detailed SPC plans.

1.0 General Management Plan

This section shall define management's SPC responsibilities and involvement and shall include management's commitment to continuous process improvement. The plan shall embrace a total commitment to quality and shall be capable of standing on its own merit.

1.1 Policy/Scope:

Describe the Contractor's policy for applying SPC, including goals and management commitment to SPC.

1.2 Applicable Document:

List documents that are the basis for the contractor's SPC program (i.e., ANSI standard, textbooks, Government documents).

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W52P1J-06-R-0206 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0005	<b>Page</b> 5 <b>of</b> 9
<b>Name of Offeror or Contractor:</b>		

- 1.3 SPC Management Structure:  
Define the SPC management structure within the organization. Identify and include interrelationships of all departments involved in SPC (i.e., Production, Quality, Engineering, Purchasing, etc.) Identify by job title or position all key personnel within departments involved in the application of SPC. Describe which functions are performed by key personnel and when these functions are performed (i.e., include personnel responsible for performing inspections/audits, charting and interpreting data; personnel responsible for determining, initiating and implementing corrective action upon detecting assignable causes, etc.)
- 1.4 SPC Training:  
Identify by job title or position the primary individual responsible for overseeing that SPC training is accomplished. Describe the qualification program required and in use for all personnel utilizing SPC techniques, including the qualification of trainers. Identify who is to be trained and the type, extent and length of such training (i.e., on-the-job, classroom, etc.). Identify when refresher training is required and how personnel using SPC techniques are monitored.
- 1.5 Manufacturing Controls:  
Identify the criteria for performing SPC gage capability studies and describe how and when these studies are applied. Repeatability and accuracy of gages should be addressed.
- 1.6 Determination of SPC Use:  
Describe how the process/operation parameters are determined appropriate for SPC application and explain what actions are taken if SPC is not deemed appropriate for critical, special and major process/operation parameters (i.e., Pareto analysis; analysis of characteristics with tight tolerances, etc.)
- 1.7 Process Stability and Capability:  
a. Identify the criteria for performing process capability studies and describe how and when these studies are applied. Describe how the process capability index is calculated and include the frequency of these calculations. Describe what actions are taken as a result of each process capability study. Describe the contractor's methodologies when process capability is for variable and attribute data. To determine a capable process, the process/operation parameters shall meet the following requirements:
- (1) Variable Data. Process capability (Cp) shall be determined. Process performance index shall be greater than or equal to 1.33 (Cpk). For critical parameters/characteristics, the process performance index shall be greater than or equal to 2.0 (Cpk).
- (2) Attribute Data: Process capability/performance shall be the percent beyond the upper/lower specification limit less than or equal to .003 percent (Cpk=1.33).
- b. Describe what actions will be taken if process/operation is sub-marginal or marginal. (Cpk less than 1.33 or 2.0 for criticals) or grand average fraction defective is greater than .003 percent).
- c. Include analysis of statistical distributions and define all formulas and symbology utilized.
- 1.8 Control Chart Policy:  
a. Type of charts to be used (i.e.,  $\bar{x}$  bar/R  $\bar{x}$  bar/S, etc.) and rationale for use; the criteria for selection of sample size, frequency of sampling and rational subgroups.
- b. Procedures for establishing and updating control limits, including frequency of adjustments.
- c. Criteria for determining out-of-control conditions (i.e., trends, points beyond control limits, etc.) and the corrective action taken; to include failure analysis when the process is unstable or when nonconforming product has resulted from unstable processes. Illustrate out-of-control tests.
- d. Describe the method of recording pertinent facts on control charts such as changes in raw materiel, machines, manufacturing methods and environment, and corrective actions taken and describe how control charts are traceable to the product.
- 1.9 Vendor/Subcontractor Purchase Controls:  
Identify whether suppliers are required to utilize SPC and describe the extent the vendor's policies and procedures are consistent with in-house procedures of the prime contractor. Describe the following: methods utilized to determine that suppliers have adequate controls to assure defective product is not produced and delivered; the system utilized to audit suppliers, what will be audited and how often; what action will be taken when out-of-control conditions exist at subcontractor/vendor facilities.
- 1.10 SPC Audit System:  
At a minimum, the contractor's SPC Audit System shall consist of auditing compliance with the planned arrangements

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206      MOD/AMD 0005</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Page 6 of 9</b></p>
--	---	---

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

specified in the general and detailed SPC plans followed by a review and analysis of the outcome to include implementation of necessary corrective action.

- 1.11 SPC Records:  
Identify various records to be used in support of SPC and describe their use. Identify retention periods.
- 2.0 Detailed Plan:  
This section shall detail specific manufacturing process/operation parameters under control.
- 2.1 Control of Process/Operation Parameters or Characteristics:
- a. Identify the following for each process/operation by name or characteristic under control:
- (1) Identify process/operation by name or characteristic and provide rationale for selection; justification for non-selection if the parameter or characteristic is identified as critical, special and/or major.
- (2) Describe how the characteristic is produced; the chain of events, type and number of machines involved, location of manufacturing facility, tolerances maintained, etc.
- (3) Production and inspection machinery used. Include the production rate, number of shifts and length of shifts plus whether inspection is fully or semi-automatic or manual. If manual, identify the type of gages in use.
- (4) Identify the type of charts to be maintained and whether the process/operation is performed in-house or subcontracted out; identify facility/vendor where process/operation parameters are targeted for SPC.
- 2.2 Reduction or Elimination of Inspection/Test: The Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO) will accept submissions of requests for reduction or elimination of final acceptance inspection/testing when the requirements of the SPC contract clause and this SOW are met. Each request shall contain and/or address the following: control charts documenting twenty (20) consecutive production shifts or more for the same process/operation parameter under control; type of control chart utilized; control chart limits and process average or grand average fraction defective (as applicable); definition of out-of-control condition and corrective actions taken during out-of-control conditions; specification and part number.

(End of clause)

(ES7034)

E-8      PROCESS CAPABILITY, CONTROL & IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS      9/20/06

EXCEPTION: THIS CLAUSE DOES NOT APPLY TO THE CARTRIDGE CASE MANUFACTURING LEVEL

- a. The Contractor shall establish a Process Control System that includes procedures, systems and software that provide control over production processes. This Process Control System shall complement the requirements of an ISO 9001-2000 or equivalent Quality Management System as well as all contract quality requirements. Statistical Process Control (SPC), when utilized, shall be implemented in accordance with ISO 11462-1 and ANSI/ASQC B1, B2, and B3. A Process Control Plan (PCP) shall be submitted to the Government for review and approval as stipulated per the DD Form 1423 and DI-MGMT-80004. Demonstration of process capability in accordance with approved PCP shall be accomplished prior to production and acceptance of product shall be based on verification of process capability in accordance with approved PCP.
- b. Key characteristics are those characteristics identified in TDP documentation as critical and major plus any contractor selected characteristics. The contractor shall analyze all process and operation parameters affecting key characteristics for application of Process Control techniques unless otherwise required by check mark:
- (1) ☐ Key characteristics and/or tools, techniques and control methods to be applied are those listed in paragraph g as tailorable characteristics. Alternate control methods can be suggested by the contractor but require Government approval.
- (2) ☐ Key characteristics are ☐ characteristics or identified process or operations parameters resulting from PFMEA of entire process. Government reserves the right to identify the specific characteristic, process, or operation parameters from the PFMEA as a key characteristic.
- c. The contractors analysis shall include processes and operations under the control of the prime contractor and those under the control of subcontractor or vendor facilities. The contractor shall create a process flow chart for the entire process and perform Process Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (PFMEA) for all processes identified on the process flow chart. The contractor shall identify, define, and delineate specific controls applicable for each process and operation that affects key characteristics. The contractor shall conduct process capability studies and Repeatability & Reproducibility (R & R) studies for measurement systems on all process and operation parameters affecting key characteristics.

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued		Page 7 of 9
	PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206	MOD/AMD 0005	
Name of Offeror or Contractor:			

d. The contractor shall prepare and implement a Process Control Plan (PCP). This PCP shall be based upon and include results of process flow chart and PFMEA, and process capability studies and R & R studies for all process and operation parameters affecting key characteristics. The PCP shall address control methods, process and inspection equipment, action plan for out of control conditions, and process capability at stated production rate. Capable processes shall be one of the criteria for Government acceptance of product. When utilizing statistical methods, a Cpk index (a type of process capability index sensitive to whether the process is centered, but insensitive to special cause) shall be calculated. A Capable process for each process and operation parameter that affects key characteristics shall have a Cpk greater than or equal to 1.0 or as stated as follows: \_\_\_\_.

e. When the process or operation parameter under control has demonstrated both stability and capability, the Contractor may request in writing that inspection or testing performed in accordance with contract requirements be reduced or eliminated in accordance with MIL-STD-1916. At least three (3) consecutive lots or as stated as follows \_\_\_\_ shall have been inspected and accepted before reduction/elimination is requested.

f. All Corrective Action Requests (CARs) and Requests For Deviation (RFDs) generated for identification of product nonconformances shall result in the addition of characteristics to contractually required key characteristic list and require implementation of actions per paragraphs (c) and (d) above with submittal to the PCO for Government approval. If the CARs and RFDs are relating to characteristic, processes or operations already identified in the PCP then those actions required by paragraph (c) and (d) will be reassessed and submitted to the PCO for Government approval. The Government reserves the right to withhold acceptance of product until the revised PCP is approved by the Government.

g. If box b(1) was checked above, the tailored key characteristics and/or tools, techniques and control methods are specified as follows:

E-9

CRITICAL CHARACTERISTICS CLAUSE

January 2006

a. The contractors processes shall be designed with the objective of preventing the creation or occurrence of non-conforming critical characteristics (see paragraphs d & e). The contractor shall establish, document and maintain a product specific, critical characteristics control (CCC) plan that shall be submitted to and approved by the Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO) IAW DD Form 1423 and DI-MGMT-80004. The CCC plan shall include or reference all procedures, work and handling instructions and process controls relating to any critical characteristics. Mistake Proofing techniques of the material handling and inspection systems shall be a part of the CCC Plan. Guidance for developing this plan and submitting Critical Plans of Action (CPOA) (paragraph g) can be found at [https://qa.pica.army.mil/QAW/qaw\\_p/safety\\_policy.htm](https://qa.pica.army.mil/QAW/qaw_p/safety_policy.htm)

b. The contractor shall assure its critical processes are robust in design, capable and under control, with the objective of not generating any critical non-conformances. The contractor shall calculate, document, clearly identify, and have a schedule that routinely assess the reliability and effectiveness of its critical processes to prevent generating critical non-conformances as identified in the CCC Plan.

c. An inspection and verification system shall be employed that will verify the robustness of all critical processes. The contractor shall calculate, document, clearly identify, and have a schedule that routinely assess the reliability and effectiveness of its inspection and verification system to detect and prevent critical non-conformance escapes as identified in the CCC Plan. The Government expects that a contractor will allow zero critical escapes. To demonstrate its critical escape risk the contractor will utilize the non-conformance escape risk goal provided below.

(1) Unless otherwise specified immediately below, the calculated critical non-conformance escape risk is 1 in a million (.000001) items delivered. Or:

Alternate calculated Critical Non-conformance Escape risk: \_\_\_\_\_

Unless otherwise approved by the PCO, the non-conformance escape risk is the sum of the individual characteristic escape rates. The probability of escape for a single characteristic shall be calculated by multiplying the non-conformance rate(s) entering the inspection system(s) by the error rate of the inspection system(s). These escape rates are then summed and shall not exceed the tolerable critical non-conformance escape risk.

(2) Within 45 days after award, the contractor can elect to submit a phased-in approach on how the non-conformance escape risk will be achieved over a period of time not to exceed 180 days from the date of first article approval, or from initiation of production when first article is not required. Submission will require approval by the Government and is subject to a technical review and analysis. Allowance for a phased-in approach will then become a part of the contract. Disapproval of the contractors submission does not relieve the contractor of its obligation to comply with the terms of this clause.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b></p> <p> <span>PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206</span> <span>MOD/AMD 0005</span> </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Page 8 of 9</b></p>
--	--	---

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

(3) Based on the maximum error rate defined for the inspection system, the contractor shall develop a test procedure to demonstrate the error rate. As part of the test plan the contractor shall include sufficient test quantities to assure 90% statistical confidence in the resultant rates unless otherwise approved by the PCO. Once established, the contractor shall have a documented schedule to routinely monitor the non-conformance and inspection system error rates to assure they do not exceed the maximum rates allotted.

d. As a result of previous practices, the governments technical data may refer to Critical I, Critical II, and Special characteristics. The use of the term "critical characteristics" within this clause includes Critical I, Critical II and Special characteristics and the use of the term "critical nonconformances" includes those nonconformances pertaining to Critical I, Critical II and Special characteristics. Unless otherwise stated in Section C, these characteristics shall be subject to all requirements of this clause.

e. In addition to critical characteristics defined in the governments technical data (drawings, specifications, etc.), the contractor shall also identify and document in their contractor developed technical data all known material, component, subassembly and assembly characteristics whose non-conformances would likely result in hazardous or unsafe conditions for individuals using, maintaining or depending upon the product. All additional critical characteristics identified by the contractor shall comply with the critical characteristic requirements of the technical data package, supplemented herein. The Critical Item Characteristic List (CICL) review process shall be included in the CCC Plan. The contractor's additional critical characteristics shall be classified in accordance with guidance located at [https://qa.pica.army.mil/QAW/qaw\\_p/safety\\_policy.htm](https://qa.pica.army.mil/QAW/qaw_p/safety_policy.htm) and shall be submitted to and approved by the PCO prior to production (DI-SAFT-80970A).

f. In the event that a critical non-conformance is found anywhere in the production process, the contractor, as part of its CCC Plan, shall have procedures in place to ensure:

(1) The non-conformance is positively identified and segregated to ensure that nonconforming product does not inadvertently remain in or reenter the production process. This control shall be accomplished without affecting or impairing subsequent non-conformance analysis. Final disposition of non-conforming product shall be documented and audited for traceability.

(2) The operation that produced the non-conforming component or assembly and any other operations incorporating suspect components or assemblies are immediately stopped. (See para h. for exceptions)

(3) The government (PCO) is immediately notified of the critical non-conformance (electronic mail)(DI-SAFT-80970A).

(4) Any suspect material is identified, segregated and suspended from any further processing and shipment.

(5) An investigation is conducted to determine the root cause of the non-conformance and the required corrective actions. An evaluation shall also be conducted with regard to suspect material to ensure that no additional critical non-conformances are present. A report of this investigation shall be submitted to the government (DI-SAFT-80970A). The use of the DID report shall not delay notification to the government as required in f(3) above.

(6) A request to restart manufacturing or to use any suspect material associated with the critical non-conformance is submitted to the government (DI-SAFT-80970A). Restart of production shall not occur until authorized by the PCO, unless previously addressed in the approved CCC Plan. The Government will respond to a restart request within 3 working days. All objective evidence of the investigations to date shall be available for review at the time of restart. Suspect material shall not be used without PCO approval.

(7) The procuring activity reserves the right to refuse acceptance of any suspect material until the root cause or reasonably likely cause of the critical non-conformance has been identified, corrective action has been fully implemented and sufficient evidence has been provided to exclude non-conforming material from the conforming population.

g. The contractor may develop alternative plans and provisions, collectively referred to as a Critical Plan of Action (CPOA), relative to government or contractor identified critical characteristics. All CPOAs are independent and shall be evaluated by the government for this contract. The CPOA and any subsequent revisions submitted IAW DD Form 1423 and DI-MGMT-80004 require PCO approval prior to implementation. Unless otherwise specified at time of approval, contractor shall review and evaluate CPOAs for currency and process improvements at least on an annual basis and submit results to the PCO. Unless otherwise approved by the PCO, each critical characteristic shall require a separate CPOA. If the CPOA includes other documents by reference they shall be submitted upon request. Guidance for the development of a CPOA can be found in the referenced guidance located at paragraph a of this clause.

h. The contractor may continue production with an approved CPOA provided that the critical non-conformance is consistent with the failure mode(s) and rates established in the CPOA. Failure to meet all CPOA requirements will require the contractor to revert back to paragraph f requirements.

i. If a critical non-conformance is discovered beyond its designated inspection point and prior to Government acceptance the contractor shall take actions specified in paragraph f above. If a critical non-conformance is discovered after Government acceptance the Government has the right to invoke the requirements of paragraph f with respect to the contractors remaining production under this contract.



<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W52P1J-06-R-0206 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0005	<b>Page</b> 9 <b>of</b> 9
<b>Name of Offeror or Contractor:</b>		

IN ACCORDANCE WITH AIR FORCE SPECIFICATIONS, THE FOLLOWING SHALL APPLY:

- 1. Lot Acceptance test requirements are: Per MIL-C-85623.
- 2. LOT ACCEPTANCE TEST: In the event of an end item firing Failure, the contractor shall notify the following by FAX/E-mail within 24 hours: The responsible Air Force Engineering Organization, 784th CBSG/506th CBSS/GBLB, E-mail addresses: steve.keetch@hill.af.mil & todd.parker@hill.af.mil; and the JMC Product Quality Manager, E-mail address: randolph.stec@us.army.mil.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE E 0001 \*\*\*